

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Harness, Hinesly, Smith, Morales, Gonzalez

CITY STAFF PRESENT: Anderson, Barkley, Beltran, McCloskey,
Nunes, Olvera, Thompson, Todd, Uota

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Smith called the meeting to order at 6:02 pm.

PUBLIC COMMENT

2.1. Unscheduled

No comments were brought forward.

CONSENT CALENDAR

- 3.1. City Council / Redevelopment Agency Joint Regular Meeting Minutes, January 10, 2012
- 3.2. City Council / Redevelopment Agency Special Joint Meeting Minutes, January 10, 2012
- 3.3. Approval – Redevelopment Agency Warrant Register, January 13; 20, 2012

It was motioned by Board Member Morales, second by Vice Chair Hinesly, to approve the consent calendar as presented

AYES: Harness, Hinesly, Smith, Morales, Gonzalez
NOES: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

CHAIR'S COMMENTS

4.1. Information Sharing

Executive Director Todd referred to the presentation on the screens and all the items acquired by the Redevelopment Agency. He said Ray Millard, who was present in the audience, was on the City Council when Redevelopment was introduced.

The Agency Members all conveyed feelings of a job well done by the Agency over the years but there were mixed feelings of sadness as well to see the Agency dissolved.

Chair Smith said Dinuba was a good model for Redevelopment bringing jobs to the area.

Ray Millard said the biggest success to Redevelopment (RDA) was the city staff when it was first implemented. He added that city staff should be congratulated as they added much to its success.

Robert Cervantes commented that RDA was positive for the community and staff did a good job but with he felt it could have been applied to better uses.

Dan Meinert, 868 Sequoia Drive, a 22-year resident of Dinuba, said there are many things that Redevelopment touched that cannot be expressed in pictures. This City spent over \$1 million in RDA funds for downtown businesses matching dollar for dollar for facades. Some of the other items were housing rehabilitation that occurred over the past 30 years in the amount of \$10 to 15 million of which provided live-able space for those who might not be able to afford it. RDA was used to improve streets and he referenced Magnolia Way. Big projects like bringing Best Buy, Ruiz Food Products, and Wal-Mart are all important for this community.

BOARD COMMENTS

5.1. Information Sharing

None

AGENCY BUSINESS

6.1. Compliance With Provisions of Assembly Bill 936

- A Resolution Pursuant to AB 936 Concerning Forgiveness of Loans, Advance or Other Indebtedness, Resolution No 2012-01

Executive Director Todd said this is a request to approve Resolution 2012-01. No forgiveness has occurred and neither body has considered such an action. The law froze any such activities and this resolution says we complied with the law.

It was motioned by Vice Chair Hinesly, second by Board Member Harness to adopt Resolution No 2012-01.

AYES: Harness, Hinesly, Smith, Morales, Gonzalez
NOES: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

6.2. Approving Amendments to the Enforceable Obligation Payment Schedule (EOPS) and Certain Related Matters

- A Resolution of the Dinuba Redevelopment Agency Approving Amendments to the EOPS, Resolution No 2012-02

Executive Director Todd asked the City Council to consider the adoption of Resolution 2012-02 to create a Successor Agency that will be charged with winding down the business of the RDA. As of February first the Redevelopment Agencies will no longer exist in California. After that point, there is a period of time when the Successor Agency is created to take the enforceable obligations and make sure they are met as agreed to by contract/agreement or by some enforceable instrument that obligates tax increment to those agencies. The second reason is it has to liquidate assets so once we have in place a payment schedule and the appropriate monies and the schedule, then the Successor Agency must put together the plan to liquidate the assets of the Agency which is

generally land but could include facilities. We do have assets that have facilities on them and the law allows Cities to retain those properties and will be transferred back to the City. That will take longer because there are contracts involved. The law is specific in this matter. It provides that if properties have development agreements it gives time to work through those. The way the process works is once you pass the resolution saying we are eliminating our Agency and appoint Council Members as the Board of the Successor Agency, then we will have taken step one. He said the next step is to appoint the Oversight Board. The roles of both agencies have are very specific. He shared AB 1X26 and the rules of how the Successor Agency is created. The Successor Agency will have its own separate budget, auditor, and duties. There is no liability assuming that responsibilities are carried out according to the law. Staff assigned will be Director Nunes and Attorney McCloskey, there are administrative funds to pay for it and it remains to be seen if there is any money left after that. They are not “employed” by the Successor Agency but will be under contract.

Todd went on to explain the duties of Oversight Board and the duties of Auditor Controller. He said the Agency, before dissolving, must adopt the enforceable obligation schedule. It contains what represents a responsibility to pay for some legal obligation and does not include the assets; that will come later. He referred to the current EOPS and said it must now be amended. The county is also going to get a cut to administer the process and will receive payment from the cities. Todd shared there are other things at stake as we go forward. We want to be as fulfilling as possible on obligations.

It was motioned by Vice Chair Hinesly, second by Board Member Harness to adopt Resolution No. 2012-02.

AYES: Harness, Hinesly, Smith, Morales, Gonzalez
NOES: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

6.3. Other Business

None

ADJOURNMENT

Chair Smith adjourned the Redevelopment Agency meeting at 6:36 pm.

Mike Smith, Chair

ATTEST:

Linda Barkley, Secretary